

g⊑ var bija baruar in Fada riki gilek

midule Ft1 Jane 6326 Security 8 oulevard 2001 more, MC 21207

T-56

3 **-1-** 05007+# 2

M40 2 1 1992

Ms. Lourdes A, Rivera Ms Sara Rosenbaum Children's Defense Fund 122 C Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Dear Ms. Rivera and Ms. Rosenbaum:

I am reaponding to your memorandum requesting clerificatle case management services for Kedicaid-enrolled children under the EPSDT program. You indicate in your memorandum that the State of Missouri and the Health Care Financing Administration's Kansas City Regional Office have taken the position that the State does not have to pay for case management services for EPSDT recipients.

I agree with your conclusion that case management services under section 1905(a) of the Social Security Act (the Act) required to be provided to EPSDT recipients, if determined be medically necessary. As you indicate in your letter, the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1989 added section 1905(r) of the Act which requires States to provide any services included in section 1905(a) of the Act when medical necessity for the service is shown by an E?SDT screen, regardless of whether such services are covered under the State plan,

Care management services are included in section 1905(a)(15) of the Act. Therefore, they must be provided to an EPSDT recipient when found to be medically necessary. Under this authority, case management may be used to reach out beyond the bounds of the Medicaid program to coordinate access to a proad range of services, regardless of the source of funding for the services to which access is gained. The services to which access is gained. The Medicaid agency to be medically necessary for the child, However, the medically necessary services do not have to be medical in nature or reimbursable under the Micaid State Film Relabursement for case management services furnished under section 1905(a)(15) of the Act is at the Federal Medical Assistance Percentage Targeted Case Management (TCM) services are not mandatory under EPSDT because they are found at section 1915(g)(1) 67 the Act, rather than 1905(a) of the Act.

## Page 2 - Lourdes A, Rivera, Sara Rosenbaum

My staff has been in contact with our Kansas City Regional; Office regarding this issue. The Assistant Regional Administrator assures us that he does not agree with the position that Missouri has taken. He also indicated that regional office staff have advised Missouri that it must make case management services, as provided for under section 1905(a)(19) of the Act, available to EPSDT recipients when medically necessary. Regional office staff believe there may be some confusion because the definition for TCM under section 1915(g)(l) of the Act and case management services under section 1905(a)(19) of the Act have the same definition.

Additionally, I am forwarding a copy of your correspondence to our regional office, I am requesting that they teke whatever action is necessary to assist Missouri in bringing its

Medicaid plan into compliance with the requirements of section 1905(r) of the Act regarding the provision of all medically necessary services listed in section 1905(e) of the Act.

I hope this information is helpful, and I appreciate your interest on this issue,

Sincerely yours,

Christine Nye

Director

Medicaid Bureau

cc:

Associate Regional Administrator, Kansas City